

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/646,355	11/16/2000	Alfred Schmidt	246472001600	246472001600 8684	
759	90 10/23/2002				
Barry E Bretschneider Morrison & Foerster 1650 Tysons Blvd Suite 300			EXAMINER		
			HUI, SAN MING R		
McLean, VA 2	22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1617		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/646,335	GOTTLICHER ET AI	L.			
Advisory Addisor	Examiner	Art Unit				
	San-ming Hui	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 27 September 2002 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply h places the applicat	/ to a tion in			
<u> </u>	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from:	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final (	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
<ul> <li>1.   A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>27 September 2002</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 2.   The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li> </ul>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		t forth in			
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below):				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	,	300 140 12 BClow),				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in	•	rially reducing or sig	anlifying the			
issues for appeal; and/or	.,	,				
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceli</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims	<b>;</b> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		dered but does NOT	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: None.						
Claim(s) objected to: None.						
Claim(s) rejected: 17-24.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 🖼	<u> </u>	^			
10. Other:		danil				
	SREEN PRIM	I PADMANABHAN IARY EXAMINER (0)	23/02			

Application/Control Number: 09/646,335

Art Unit: 1617

## **ADVISORY ACTION**

Continuation of 5):

Applicant's rebuttal arguments averring the substance for promoting skin penetration being not the active ingredients have been considered but are not found persuasive. As set forth in the previous office action mailed March 27, 2002, it is not clear what the herein claimed active ingredient(s) is(are) because claim 17 recites an active ingredient comprising a steroidal aromatose inhibitor and containing no antigestagens and a substance for promoting skin penetration...". If it is what the applicant intend to exclude the substance for promoting skin penetration, a simple punctuation will be able to separate the substances and thereby renders the claim clear.

Applicant's rebuttal arguments regarding the second rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph have been considered, but are not found persuasive. Since it is not known how the intended activities to be achieved, it is not known what compounds, other than the ones disclosed in the instant specification, page 9, last paragraph, would be encompassed by the claims herein. The instant specification only lists two compounds, hyaluronidase and DMSO, that are useful in the present invention. However, it is not clear what other compounds would also be encompassed by the expression "substance for promoting skin penetration so as to avoid systemic action of the active ingredient" as now recited in the claim 17.

Applicant's rebuttal argument regarding the specific characteristic of the resultant composition have been considered but are not found persuasive. Please note that products of identical chemical composition cannot have mutually exclusive properties.

Application/Control Number: 09/646,335

Art Unit: 1617

<u>A chemical composition and its properties are inseparable</u>. Therefore, if the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are necessarily present. *In re Spada* 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990). See MPEP 2112.01.

No unanswered arguments are seen herein.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to San-ming. Hui whose telephone number is (703) 305-1002. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon 9:00 to 1:00, Tu - Fri from 9:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, PhD., can be reached on (703) 305-1877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

San-ming Hui October 21, 2002